Struc	cture Description	1: 473.92 Fc	oot - 4 Span Steel cont	inuous String	ger/N	/lulti-l	beam or Girder	NBI	Х
2 D	oistrict: 05	3 County:	Jefferson 16 Latitu	de: 38°14′23	3.00″	' 7	Longitude: 85°37'22.00"	Element	Х
7 Fa	acility Carried -	64 RAMP					Milepoint: 12.460	Fracture Critical	
6A F	eature Intersecte	d: I-264						Underwater	
9 L	ocation: AT JCT	I-264						Special	
Struc	cture Description	• 473 02 Ec	ot - 4 Snan Steel cont	inuque String	rer/N	ا_itti_l	neam or Girder		
	N	BI CONDITI	ON RATINGS		00"	r	GEOMETRI	C DATA	
58 D	eck:	7	61 Channel:	Ν		48	Max Length Span:	173.000 ft	
59 S	uperstructure:	8	62 Culvert:	Ν		49	Structure Length:	473.920 ft	
	ubstructure:	7	Sufficiency Rating:	85		32	Approach Roadway:	-3.281 ft	
					er/N	33	Median:	(0) No Median	
		DES	IGN		-00"	34	Skew:	8°	
Subs	tandard:		No		00	35	Flare:	No Flare	
Fract	ure Critical:		No FC Details			50A	Curb/Sidewalk Width L:	0.000 ft	
43A	Main Span Mate	erial:	(4) Steel Continuous	3		50B	Curb/Sidewalk Width R:	0.000 ft	
43B	Main Span Desi	gn:	(02) Stringer / Girder	r	(1)	47	Horiz. Clearance:	29.856 ft	
45	Number of Spar	ns Main:	4		er/N	51	Width Curb to Curb:	-3.281 ft	
44A	Approach Span	Material:	Not Applicable		00"	52	Width Out to Out:	37.300 ft	
44B	Approach Span	Design:	Not Applicable			48	Max Length Span:	173.000 ft	
46	Number of App	roach Spans	s: 0				ADMINIST	RATIVE	
107	Deck Type:		(1) Concrete-Cast-in	n-Place		27	Year Built:	1994	
108A	Wearing Surfac	e:	(5) Epoxy Overlay		er/N	106	Year Reconstructed:	0	
108B	Membrane:		(0) None		00″	42A	Type of Service On:	(1) Highway	
108C	Deck Protection	1:	(1) Epoxy Coated Re	einforcing		42B	Type of Service Under:	(1) Highway	
Over	lay Y/N:		Yes			37	Historical Significance:	(5) Not Eligible	
Over	lay Type:		Ероху			21	Maintenance Responsibility	:(01) State Hwy Agency	
Over	lay Thickness:		0.375 in			22	Owner:	(01) State Hwy Agency	
Over	lay Date:		2013			101	Parallel Structure:	(N) No II Structure Exists	
		APPR				52	Width Out to Out:	37.300 ft	
00.4	Deiders Deilinger						CLEARA	NCES	
36A	Bridge Railings Transitions		(1) Meets Standards			10	Vert. Clearance:	99.999 ft	
36B		ماييمال	(1) Meets Standards			53	Min. Vert. Clearance Over:	99.999 ft	
36C	Approach Guar		(1) Meets Standards			54A	Vert. Under Reference:	(H) Hwy beneath struct.	
36D	Approach Guar		(1) Meets Standards	j		54B	Min. Vert. Underclearance:	18.917 ft	
71 72	Waterway Adeq	-	(N) Not Applicable	Crit		55A	Lateral Under Reference:	(H) Hwy beneath struct.	
72	Approach Align	ment.	(8) Equal Desirable(N) Not over Waterw			55B	Min. Lat. Underclearance R:	17.500 ft	
113 Rocc	Scour Critical: mmended Scour	Critical	(N) Not over Waterw	5		56	Min. Lat. Underclearance L:		
Reco		Chilical.		lay		47	Horiz. Clearance:	34.000 ft	
		LOAD R	ATINGS				POSTIN	IGS	
63	Operating Type	: (1) Load	Factor (LF)			41 F	Posting Status:	(A) Open, No Restriction	
64	Operating Ratin	g: 75.0 tons	\$			Sign	s Posted Cardinal:	No	
65	Inventory Type:	(1) Load	Factor (LF)			Sign	s Posted Non-Cardinal:	No	
66	Inventory Rating	g: 45.0 tons	\$			Field	l Postings Gross:	tons	
Truck	k Capacity Type I	tons				Field	l Postings Type I:	tons	
Truck	k Capacity Type I	II: tons				Field	d Postings Type II:	tons	
Truck	k Capacity Type I	III: tons				Field	d Postings Type III:	tons	
Truck	k Capacity Type I	V: tons				Field	d Postings Type IV:	tons	

12: Re C	12: Re Concrete Deck												
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4				
SQ.FT	17,677	17,537	99%	140	1%	0	0%	0	0%				

- An overlay was added sometime after 2013 inspection. Previous inspections noted that the deck has minor cracking, chips along the armored edges and some scaling just west of pier 3.

- No deficiencies noted from above during 2015 inspection.

- Stay-in-place forms prevent visual inspection of the bottom of the deck.

- Cracking with efflorescence is present in the overhangs.

510: Wearing Surfaces

Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4
SQ.FT	16,113	16,113	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

- Overlay was added sometime after 2013 inspection.

- No deficiencies noted.

107: Steel Opn Girder/Beam											
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4		
FT	1,896	1,868	99%	28	1%	0	0%	0	0%		
- Some a	reas of surface ru	ist are forming at p	aint peelin	g/failure locations	. Mostly is	olated to splice ar	eas.	·			

515: Ste	el Protective Co	ating							
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4
FT	9,951.72	9,915.75	100%	10.06	0%	9.75	0%	16.15	0%

- See media tab for spreadsheet calculation of steel beam protective coating.

- Peeling plate concentrated at splice locations, but a few other random locations.

- Some locations of peeling paint have exposed steel with rust.

- Worst appears to be at splices in span 1, east side of span 2, and west side of span 3.

90 Inspection Date - 4/21/15 **Inspector -** APORTER (224)

Inspection Report with SI&A Data

Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4
EACH	9	9	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4
FT	79	69	87%	10	13%	0	0%	0	0%
	to have minor are								

Abutments have minor cracks.

234: Re	Conc Pier Cap								
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4
FT	105	105	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
No defici	encies noted.								

300: Str	300: Strip Seal Exp Joint												
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4				
FT	72	37	51%	35	49%	0	0%	0	0%				
Joint are	filled with dirt and	debris that does i	not appear	to be restricting n	novement.								

310: Elastomeric Bearing												
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4			
EACH	16	16	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
- A5, G4	bearing is leaning	slightly to the sou	uth otherwis	se no deficiencies	noted.							

313: Fixed Bearing												
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4			
EACH	4	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
No deficie	encies noted.											

Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4
EACH	0.56	0.56	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

321: Re Conc Approach Slab									
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4
SQ.FT	1,850	1,850	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
		eed after the 2013 ing 2015 inspection							

331: Re Conc Bridge Railing									
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4
FT	948	781	82%	167	18%	0	0%	0	0%
Concrete	barriers have mir	nor vertical cracks	with efflore	escence and scrap	bes.				

STRUCTURE NOTES

-An in-depth inspection was completed by consultant engineers in April 2007. A copy of the report is in the District 5 office file. -Bridge was painted after 2009 inspection. Paint date is 9-2010.

- It appears that the approach slabs were replaced, an epoxy overlay was added, and joints were replaced sometime after the 2013 inspection. A. Porter 04/21/2015

INSPECTION NOTES

Standard Inspection by A. Porter and L. Boller (DLZ).

WORK

Action: 1062 - Paint-Structural

Generated by user "APORTER" on 4/22/2015 - Areas around splices have peeling paint with exposed rusted metal. Recommend cleaning and spot paint around all splices to prevent degradation of the spliced connection.